

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9
10 **TIMOTHY M. CRAWLEY, as Personal**
11 *Representative of the Estate of Gregory A.*
12 *Buck, deceased,*

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 **CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF**
16 **CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION**
17 et al.,

18 Defendants.

19 Case No. 1:25-cv-00388-JLT-SAB
20 ORDER RE SECOND STIPULATION TO
21 EXTEND DEFENDANTS TIME TO FILE A
22 RESPONSIVE PLEADING
23 (ECF No. 9)

24 Before the Court is the parties' second stipulation to extend the time in which Defendants
25 may answer the complaint. For good cause shown, the Court hereby approves the stipulation for
26 a two-week extension and ORDERS that Defendants shall have through June 26, 2025, to answer
27 or otherwise respond to the complaint.¹

28 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 4, 2025



29 _____
30 STANLEY A. BOONE
31 United States Magistrate Judge

32
33
34
35
36
37 ¹ In their proposed order, the parties included a condition that if Plaintiff files a first amended complaint before June
38 26, 2025, then Defendants shall have 30 days from that filing date in which to file an answer. The Court denies this
39 request without prejudice because it was not part of the parties' stipulation and because it is speculative at this point.